2 3 **Abstract** 4 1. The brown bear, Ursus arctos, was Holocene Britain's largest carnivoran and has appeared in 5 recent rewilding discussions. 6 2. Despite widespread interest, we know very little about the species in Holocene Britain as few 7 studies have been undertaken. This paper draws together information on the brown bear to 8 examine the presence and extinction of bears through examination of the archaeological and 9 palaeontological evidence. 10 3. Data were collected from published literature and museum catalogues. Information on the 11 chronological date of the sites, number of specimens, and the body parts present were particularly 12 sought. 13 4. A total of 86 sites were identified, 57 are well dated, 27 are of uncertain date but likely to be 14 Holocene, and two have uncertain identifications. Very few specimens from non-archaeological sites 15 (i.e. fens and caves) are well-dated, skewing the data towards anthropogenic sites such as 16 settlements, castles, and graves. 17 5. Analysis of body part representation shows that the bear bones found in the Bronze Age, Iron Age 18 and most of the early medieval period are from skins. All body parts are present during the Romano-19 British, medieval, and post-medieval period, indicating that live bears were present, and were 20 probably imported for entertainment. 21 6. It seems the brown bear was rare throughout the Holocene, and based on current evidence two 22 extinction scenarios can be put forward: extinction in the late Neolithic/early Bronze Age, or 23 extinction in the early medieval period. 24 25 Keywords: archaeology, body part analysis, trade, extinction, dating 26 Running head: Brown bear in Holocene Britain 27 **Word count**: 10,000 28

The brown bear (Ursus arctos) in Holocene Britain: a review of the evidence

1

### Introduction

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

The brown bear, Ursus arctos, is found in a wider range of habitats than any other bear species, and prior to human impacts, occurred across Eurasia and North America. It was widespread in Europe during the Lateglacial and early Holocene (Sommer & Benecke 2005), but over the course of the Holocene it was extirpated from many regions (Albrecht et al. 2017). The brown bear was Britain's largest terrestrial carnivoran, yet we know surprisingly little about its history, both as a wild animal, and in relation to the human population. The presence of bears in Britain has implications for humans, as bears would have had a major impact on how people experienced the landscape, and making collecting firewood, woodland clearance or fishing potentially hazardous. Bears occasionally now figure in discussions of rewilding, with headlines such as "Make way for the lynx and the bear as 'rewilding' projects gather pace across Britain" (McVeigh 2015) and "Wolves and brown bears could return to British countryside to 'naturally cut deer population'" (Knapton 2017). Despite these suggestions (and without getting into the discussion of whether or not this is a realistic aim), we know little about the brown bear in Holocene Britain, although their remains have been reported from the mid-19<sup>th</sup> century onwards. Two recent analyses (Yalden 1999, Hammon 2010) have amassed the published data, but a critical review is lacking. There are also outstanding questions about when the brown bear became extinct, and whether or not the specimens that we find on archaeological sites are the remains of wild native bears, or those that had been imported from overseas. This study, building on the work of Yalden (1999) and Hammon (2010), examines Holocene humanbear relationships in Britain, using both osteology and artefactual evidence (where appropriate) to review the evidence for brown bears through time. In a recent comprehensive review of Holocene mammal distributions across Europe, bears were identified as having had a range decline since the Roman period onwards (Crees et al. 2016). The Romans transported live animals by sea and land for the arenas in Rome and this practice must have contributed to extirpations in a number of places

(Bomgardner 1992). However, Crees et al. (2016) also included the presence of bears in Britain into the later medieval period. Large scale overviews such as this are vulnerable to small-scale errors, and interpretations can be affected by the use of data from archaeological sites, where animal remains may have come from several different sources. For example, determining when wild animals were present in the past is not straightforward, particularly when dealing with an animal such as the brown bear where furs (Kirkinen 2017) and live animals (Serra 2013), can be moved and traded over considerable distances and over long periods. The Holocene remains of bears in Britain range from a full skeleton to isolated phalanges, and the sites from caves to human cremations. Therefore, there may be very different sources for animals that are identified within specific sites. This review critically evaluates the record of brown bears in Britain from both anthropogenic and non-anthropogenic sites to examine when and potentially why the bear became extinct, and areas for future research.

#### Data sources and methods

Data on site location, presence of bear, body part distribution, type of site, and any associated radiocarbon dates were compiled from site reports and review papers. The final dataset comprises 57 sites with bears that can be assigned to a period (Fig. 1), 27 sites that are probably Holocene but do not have well-constrained dates, and two sites that have uncertain species identification. All direct radiocarbon dates on Holocene bear bones are shown in Figure 2 and full data are given in Appendix S1. All radiocarbon dates in the text have been recalibrated using OxCal 4.3.2 (Bronk Ramsay 2009). Time periods (Mesolithic, Bronze Age, etc.) are based on Hunter and Ralston (2009). Sites that are Holocene, but may span two or more time periods are discussed where appropriate. Sites that had a date assigned in Jackson's (1962) review, but have not been subsequently corroborated are regarded as undated, as many are caves containing assemblages from multiple

time periods. Note that in the tables and figures some sites may appear twice if there are bear specimens from multiple periods present. The full dataset of 86 sites is given in Appendix S2.

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

79

80

### **Spatial and Temporal Distribution**

Bears were widespread across Britain in the Lateglacial period, with radiocarbon-dated remains found from Devon in southern England to Sutherland, northern Scotland (Yalden 1999). Recent ancient DNA (aDNA) work on bears from North Yorkshire (Edwards et al. 2014) demonstrated that the matriline of the bears before and after the cold of the Younger Dryas was the same. This indicates continuity of the Lateglacial brown bear mitochondrial lineages into the Holocene, and a potentially rapid recolonisation at the beginning of the Holocene in at least some parts of Britain (Edwards et al. 2014). This matriline (clade 1-i) is also found in Northern Scotland, indicating the persistence of this lineage during the Holocene (Barnes et al. 2002; Edwards et al. 2014). However, there has been no direct date on the Scottish specimen that was sampled for aDNA, so it is not certain when this matriline was present. Despite the presence of bears in the early Holocene, there are relatively few sites with bear in the Mesolithic, declining to very low numbers in the Iron Age, followed by a steep rise from the Romano-British period onwards (Fig. 3). Figure 4 shows the breakdown of the distribution of dated sites by region (see Appendix S2 for categories), and it can be seen that bears are scarce in Scotland, Wales, and the East Midlands, and more frequently found in Yorkshire, the east, south, and London. Sites with bears are widely distributed in the Bronze Age, Romano-British, and medieval periods, while the post-medieval bears are from London and Edinburgh (Figs. 1 and 4). This distribution is explained in Figure 5, which divides the sites into anthropogenic and non-anthropogenic localities. Non-anthropogenic sites are caves, fens, and bogs, while anthropogenic are burials, pits, ditches, and settlements. There is a clear shift in the presence of bears away from 'natural' sites to anthropogenic sites after the Mesolithic. The exceptions are a Bronze/Iron Age specimen from

Inchnadamph, Scotland, and an early medieval specimen from Kinsey Cave, North Yorkshire (see below). The London bias of the post-medieval specimens (Fig. 4) can be directly related to the presence of bear-baiting arenas on the South bank of the Thames (see below).

Analysis of the number of sites in the midlands and south vs. the number of sites with bear (Table 1) indicates that bears are found in 0.9% to 2.5% of sites in each period, except for the post-medieval period when this jumps to 4.7%. These figures indicate that bears are always a rare part of faunal assemblages, although it should be noted that these are archaeological sites, and bears from sites with no archaeology (e.g. caves) are less likely to have good dating associated with them (see Appendix S2). This pattern can be compared with that seen by Albrecht et al. (2017) who found that the probability of detecting Holocene bear remains in 'wild' habitats such as moors or caves were similar to those from archaeological settlements, while detection of specimens from burials were much higher, which may be related to specific cultural practices as discussed below.

### Mesolithic 9600 - 4000 BC

Five Mesolithic sites in Britain have bear remains. These are Star Carr (Noe-Nygaard 1983, Edwards et al. 2014), Raven Scar Cave, Victoria Cave (Edwards et al. 2014), Shaws (Smith 1879, Kitchener & Bonsall 1997), and An Corran (Bartosiewicz 2012). Raven Scar Cave contains the remains of one adult bear and several cubs, and it has been suggested that these could be the remains of animals that died during hibernation (Edwards et al. 2014). If the cubs are also Mesolithic (they are currently undated), this would be first direct evidence for the hibernation of bears in Holocene Britain.

Victoria Cave has evidence for human activity in the Lateglacial and later Holocene (e.g. Lord et al. 2007, 2012; Branigan & Dearne 1992), but not for the Mesolithic. The lack of evidence for human interaction with the Mesolithic bear remains from Victoria Cave and Raven Scar Cave suggests that these specimens represent a natural accumulation of animals, without human intervention, with Lord et al. (2007) suggesting that cave entrances may have become blocked and inaccessible in the

later Mesolithic. In contrast, Star Carr is an archaeological site on the edge of former Lake Pickering in North Yorkshire that was used repeatedly in the early Mesolithic (Conneller et al. 2012). Three bear bones have been found at the site - an axis vertebra (Noe-Nygaard 1983), an upper canine (Edwards et al. 2014), and a mandible (Legge & Rowley-Conwy 1988; Yalden 1999). Star Carr is unusual in the size and richness of the assemblage, and the presence of multiple antler headdresses that may indicate ritual activity (Clark 1971; Conneller et al. 2012). The presence of parts of the skull and the second cervical vertebra indicates that at least one head was collected, which could potentially have had a ritual purpose (ethnographic sources indicate that the skull of a bear including the first and second cervical vertebrae is often treated differently to the rest of the carcass and may be used ceremonial activities; Germonpré & Hämäläinen 2007; Garshelis 2009). Alternatively, they could be isolated specimens collected as curios over the 200-300 hundred years that the site was in use (Conneller et al. 2012). A complete but eroded first phalanx was found in a midden context in An Corran rockshelter on Skye (Bartosiewicz 2012). It has been suggested to be from a skin (Kitchener et al. 2004), or possibly from a bear that was killed on the island (Bartosiewicz 2012). The majority of the stone tools from the site are Mesolithic, as is a radiocarbon date from a bovid bone from the same context as the bear, but radiocarbon dates of bone tools and human remains have shown a greater spread into the Neolithic and Bronze Age, so there is a possibility that the bear might have a later date, but it has been counted as Mesolithic here. A bear cranium and rib was recovered from "the bottom of the peat, and lying on the marl, or nearly so" at Shaws in Dumfriesshire in the late 19th century (Smith 1879). The specimen is largely edentulous, but those teeth that remain (both canines and the left M2) appear very worn. The combination of marl and/or peat suggests the Shaws bear was inhabiting a boggy if not wetland environment, while Star Carr was on a swampy lakeshore, which succeeded into fenland with nearby willow and birch woodlands (Taylor 2011). The status of a single radiocarbon dated specimen from Kent's Cavern is currently uncertain - Yalden (1999) cited Campbell (1977) as the source of the information, but I have been unable to locate it in that volume, so it has not been included here. Radiocarbon dating of two bears from the 'Mesolithic'

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

layer at Foxhole Cave, Derbyshire (Bramwell 1971), has resulted in Lateglacial dates (O'Regan unpublished). While Bramwell (1971: 8) also listed bear specimens from later layers within the site, he noted 'the broken condition of their bones'. Cave stratigraphy is often disturbed and with the activity of water, badgers, etc., often specimens of very different ages can be found together (Dowd 2015), perhaps increasing the likelihood that any bears found in 'Neolithic' layers in Foxhole are also from earlier deposits. Of the sixteen specimens radiocarbon dated for Edwards et al. (2014), only three were Mesolithic, and the rest were Lateglacial. This may indicate that substantial numbers of radiocarbon dates are needed to find these later bears amongst the larger number of Lateglacial specimens or that a true pattern of early Holocene scarcity is emerging.

### The Neolithic 4000 - 2400 BC

Yalden (1999: 114-115) listed four sites as Neolithic – Victoria Cave, Elbolton Hole, Great Orme's Head, and Foxhole, five as late Neolithic – Barholm, Rain's Cave, Down Farm, Northborough, and Letchworth, and five as possibly Neolithic – Greater Kelco Cave, Windy Knoll, Rhosddigre Cave, Burwell Fen, and Manea Fen. Hammon (2010) added two Neolithic sites – Etton and Eynesbury, and two Neolithic/Bronze Age sites – Maxey Quarry and Eton Rowing Lake. There is also a possible specimen from Skara Brae, Orkney. Taken as a whole this gives a potential total of 19 sites with bear in the Neolithic. However, Northborough (in Yalden 1999) and Etton (in Hammon 2010) refer to the same site and specimen – a single canine from pit F538 at the A15 Bypass site between Northborough and Etton (Wallace 2005). The only bear specimen (a canine) from Greater Kelco Cave was radiocarbon dated twice by Edwards et al. (2014). The first sample failed to date and the other dated to the Lateglacial. The presence of this single specimen was interpreted as a tooth that had been collected from another cave and deposited by people in a later period, as were the two terminal phalanges found at Sewell's Cave that also dated to the Lateglacial (Edwards et al. 2014). In summary, the specimens from cave sites that have been dated have all proved to be earlier than the

Neolithic, raising questions about several more (Victoria Cave, Elbolton Pot, Windy Knoll, Foxhole, and Rhosddigre) that remain to be dated. Away from caves, three specimens have been found in the East Anglian fens. These include a complete skull from Manea Fen (Owen, 1846), and an isolated mandible and complete skeleton from Burwell Fen (skull illustrated in Reynolds 1906). No dates are available for any of these specimens, despite the Burwell bear being the only complete skeleton from Holocene Britain. However, considerable work has been undertaken to date other bones from Burwell, and while the peat (and therefore presumably the bear) is Neolithic to Bronze Age, human remains from the fen have been dated to much later periods (Hedges et al. 1994). There is an additional undated fen specimen, a scapula from Skipsea in North Yorkshire (Ogilvy pers. comm.), which could potentially be Mesolithic, Neolithic, or later. The specimens from archaeological sites are more securely dated. Nearly all of them were found in pits or ditches (the exception is the specimen from Barholm, which may be from the floor-level in a hut; Simpson 1993). Only one specimen is related to a burial site - a lower canine from the tertiary ditch fill of a long barrow at Eynesbury, Cambridgeshire (Sykes 2004). This tertiary fill postdated the creation of the barrow and contained both Neolithic and Bronze Age archaeology, so the bear could be from either period. There is an intriguing distribution of body parts at the dated Neolithic sites (Fig. 6b-c), as all specimens are from the skull or front leg. Three of these leg specimens are scapulae, which do not usually have a ceremonial function, although scapulimancy - a form of divination that utilises the scapula (e.g. Hallowell 1926; Moore 1957) - is a theoretical possibility. The scapulae are from

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

underlying the context with bear was dated to 3347-2582 BC (Simpson 1993; Pearson 1979). The bear must postdate this, and the presence of horse in the same context as the bear (Harman 1993)

Barholm (Harman 1993), Ratfyn (Jackson 1935), and Eton Rowing Lake (Hammon 2010), the latter

suggested to date to the Neolithic/Bronze Age. At Barholm, charcoal from the base of a pit

may signal that it is either a mixed Neolithic/Bronze Age or Bronze Age deposit, as horses are very rare or possibly absent for most of the Neolithic (Bendrey 2010). A single bear ulna from Pit 11a, Firtree Field, Down Farm, Cranborne Chase, was from a pit that contained the largest animal bone assemblage from the site, including a complete cattle skull (Legge 1991). The size of the assemblage may indicate that this was a special deposit, but multiple bones including the bear had been gnawed, which also suggests that the specimens were lying around, chewed by the local dogs and then disposed of (Legge 1991).

The specimens from the skull may be easier to explain, as they can be collected as curios,

ornamentation, or have some ceremonial function, while, with the exception of claws, there is less importance attached to the rest of the bear skeleton (Germonpré & Hämäläinen 2007). The isolated postcrania may have had a function that we no longer understand, be curios picked up on peoples' travels, or represent the incorporation of bones that were present at the sites into pit fills with no other intentions. Currently, there is not enough evidence to tell these options apart (and more than one may apply in some cases).

There are doubts over whether one of the Neolithic specimens is bear or not. This is a single tooth from Skara Brae in Orkney that has been made into a pendant, but is identified only as ?bear in the British Museum catalogue (reference number 1938.0101.37).

Therefore, of the 19 sites that were originally suggested to be Neolithic, eleven need radiocarbon dating to confirm that they are Neolithic, two (Northborough and Etton) are the same site, and one (Skara Brae) needs further work to identify the species involved. Only four are securely dated to the Neolithic, while Maxey Quarry, Eton Rowing Lake, Barholm and Eynesbury are either Neolithic or Bronze Age.

Overall, bear remains in the Neolithic (and Neolithic/ Bronze Age) are mainly found in the East and southwest of England (Figs. 1 and 4). This is intriguing, and suggests that either bears were able to survive in the Neolithic in anthropogenic landscapes containing monuments such as henges and

cursuses (Whittle 2009), or that they were culturally important enough for people to be transporting their remains from elsewhere in Britain or overseas. Both possibilities have considerable implications for our understanding of the extinction of brown bear and human activities in Neolithic Britain. In terms of human-wildlife conflict, the Neolithic probably represents the period when this would have come to the fore (Yalden 1999). The importation of domesticated cattle, sheep, and pigs would have provided a potential new food source for brown bears, and brought them into conflict with people.

Domestic livestock were heavily predated by bears following their introduction into parts of North America in the 19<sup>th</sup> century, resulting in a bounty and reduction of bear numbers from 50,000 to a few thousand within 100 years (Garshelis 2009). People in the Neolithic would certainly have wanted to protect their animals, and brown bears, which may already have been at low numbers in the landscape, could have been extirpated quite rapidly.

The Bronze Age 2400 - 800 BC

There is only one record of brown bear from the Bronze Age in England (in addition to those identified above as Neolithic/Bronze Age); a skin found in a burial at Whitehorse Hill on Dartmoor (Jones 2016). This is a unique find as, archaeologically, skins are usually only represented by claws after the flesh has rotted or been burned away. The anaerobic conditions within the bog preserved the skin, which had been wrapped around a human cremation and placed in a cist (Jones 2016). Currently there is no way of telling if this skin was from a local bear or had been imported, although the authors suggested it may have been from native bear. Recent work has demonstrated considerable movement of people in the Bronze Age in Britain and from overseas (Parker Pearson et al. 2016), and it is possible that the skin could have been imported as a curio or item of special status, rather than being from Britain. The only other find that may date to the late Bronze Age/earliest Iron Age is a bear femur from Inchnadamph in Scotland that was radiocarbon dated to 969-776 BC (Burleigh et al. 1976). This was dated in the early days of radiocarbon dating and would

benefit from repeating with modern AMS techniques. Ancient DNA from an undated bear tooth from the same cave showed that it was from the same haplogroup as the Mesolithic brown bears from the Yorkshire Dales (Barnes et al. 2002; Edwards et al. 2014). This might indicate that Sutherland was a refugium for the brown bear, but further work is required to confirm this.

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

255

256

257

258

Iron Age (800 BC - AD 43)

Only two sites with bears are dated to the Iron Age and they are both graves in the East of England dating between 50-1 BC (Schönfelder 1994). At Welwyn Garden City six burned distal phalanges were found mixed with the cremated remains of a human male and were interpreted as the body having been wrapped in a skin before cremation (Stead 1966). Three burned phalanges were found at Baldock, also mixed with the remains of a cremation (Stead & Rigby 1986). Schönfelder (1994) found parallels for graves with bear claws in Germany and Scandinavia, emphasising that the two burials from Britain were from high status individuals, and that their graves contained a number of imported goods. The lack of holes in the claws indicates that they were not being used for pendants (Schönfelder 1994), and suggests that pelts with the claws still attached were included in the cremation ritual. A wide variety of animal images were used in the Iron Age, e.g. in East Anglia, wolves, boars, and horses were depicted on coins, and boars, ducks, and bulls as figurines or on objects (Davies 2011). There are at least two depictions of bears on coins (Cottam et al. 2010), but no figurines, which seems a strange omission when other large powerful animals were represented, and could indicate that bears were missing from the landscape or were tabooed. Allen & Sykes (2011) discuss Iron Age attitudes to the wild and suggest that wild animal remains are missing from sites because there was a deliberate distancing of human society from the natural world at the time. However, many of these animals were still represented in art, while the bear was not. The lack of specimens and iconography suggests that the bear was absent, at least in southern and eastern England, during the Iron Age.

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

### Roman AD 43-410

There are 10 well-dated anthropogenic sites with bears from Roman Britain, with the possibility of five others. The latter are a metapodial from a probable Roman context in Whitchurch (Madgwick pers. comm.), a metacarpal from late Scottish Iron Age/medieval Tirefour on Lismore in the Inner Hebrides (Orton pers. comm), a scapula from near Richmond (Boyd Dawkins 1865), two tibiae and a mandible from a deposit that is probably Romano-British at Binchester Roman Fort (Jessop 2012; Stallibrass 2002), and an unknown specimen listed as ?Roman by Yalden (1999) from Little Hoyle in Pembrokeshire. The sites are found from the South coast (Westward House near Fishbourne Roman Palace in Sussex) to Binchester in County Durham (Fig. 1). A humerus identified as bear from the London Amphitheatre excavations cannot be confirmed, as the specimen was missing when the final report was compiled (Bateman et al. 2008: 129). Martial mentions, in Epigram 9, the presence of Caledonian (Scottish) bears in the amphitheatre in Rome in the late 1<sup>st</sup> century AD (Coleman 2006). However, we need to a be a little cautious in interpreting Martial's writing as confirming that bears were still extant in Britain at the time, as the bears in Rome could have been continental bears that had been exoticised and marketed as from the edge of empire for the populace of Rome (Crummy 2010). In Britain images of bears are largely seen on pottery including Samian bowls, a vase from Colchester showing a man with a whip and a bear (Toynbee 1964), and one of the pieces of the Mildenhall treasure (the latter items were made in Britain, but the Samian was imported). Bears were also depicted on mosaics from Roman Britain, but in lower numbers than some other exotic taxa (e.g. leopards are shown 25 times, lions 15, and bears 4) (Witt 2016). All representations of bears are on Orpheus mosaics, which depict Orpheus playing his lyre to subdue the wild beasts (Witt 2016). The bears are in naturalistic poses but not well-executed, and it is likely that the mosaicists had not seen some of the species that they were depicting.

There are rare three-dimensional figurines of bears from Roman Britain, including a Roman copper alloy figure of a bear holding a small figure (possibly a child) in its jaws (Evans & Mackay 2004). This was suggested to be a funerary figurine, which could link it with the seven jet bears from late Roman children's graves or sites of cultic activity in Colchester, York, and Malton (Crummy 2010). Parallels for these bears in Britain have also been found in Trier and Cologne (Crummy 2010). Stallibrass (2002) noted a number of Romano-British sites with bear in the North East (Catterick Bridge, Binchester, Richmond), and suggested that the bear could possibly represent a cultural connection between the inhabitants of these three sites. With the exception of a single mandible from late Iron Age to early Roman Sheepen (Luff 1985), all other well-dated Romano-British specimens are from the 3<sup>rd</sup>-4<sup>th</sup> centuries AD. These dates fit with those of the Orpheus mosaics (Witt 2016) and the jet bears (Crummy 2010), and suggest a gap in our record of bears in Britain in the early-mid Romano-British period. Martial's reference to Caledonian bears in the 1st century AD (Coleman 2006), if taken it at face value, and the absence of bears between ~AD 50-250, might suggest that they were quickly extirpated by Roman trading. With the exception of the probably Roman finds from Binchester Roman Fort, all of the skeletal specimens of bear are from towns or villas. The body part representation (Fig. 6f) suggests that entire (and probably live) bears were present during the later Roman period, and the distribution of sites suggests that live bears were being imported for civilian rather than military purposes, with the entertainments suggested by Luff (1985) to have included dancing and/or baiting. However, it is just possible that bears could still have been hunted in the northern Pennines (Stallibrass 2002).

325

326

327

328

329

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

Early medieval (includes Viking and Anglo-Saxon periods) AD 410 - 1066.

Eight sites with bear are known from the early medieval period, in addition to the specimen from Lismore mentioned above. Four sites are Anglo-Saxon cemeteries in the East of England, where phalanges have been found in multiple cremation urns: Cleatham (n = 5 urns, with possible bears in

another 5 urns; Squires 2011), Elsham Wold (n = 6 urns; Squires 2011), Sancton (n = 2 urns; Bond 1996), Spong Hill (n = 6 urns; Bond 1996). Distal phalanges are most commonly reported, but Elsham Wold and Cleatham also have proximal or intermediate phalanges present, and Cleatham also has a possible unfused diaphysis fragment (Squires 2011). The highest number of phalanges from a single urn is 10, found at both Elsham and Cleatham (Squires 2011). Staying with the foot, a second metacarpal was found at Anglo-Saxon West Stow in Suffolk (Crabtree 1989), a phalanx at Eynsham Abbey (Hardy et al. 2003), and two distal phalanges from Viking-Age Coppergate in York (O'Connor 1989). A single canine pendant from the Brough of Birsay with a runic inscription may be from either a seal or a bear (Morris 2017). Finally, and perhaps most surprisingly, the cervical vertebra of a bear from Kinsey Cave in North Yorkshire has been radiocarbon dated to AD 425-594 (Taylor et al. 2007), making it the latest specimen from a non-anthropogenic site in Britain. However, this site also has evidence for Romano-British cultic activity and the latest radiocarbon date for a lynx (Lynx lynx) in Britain (Hetherington et al. 2006). There is a possibility, albeit faint, that this bear could be the descendent of a bear imported into Britain for Roman entertainment, rather than a wild animal. If it is from a wild native bear, then this suggests that the Yorkshire Dales, despite being a major mining area in the Roman period, retained some vestiges of wilderness into the early medieval period. Claws in cremations and occupation sites suggest the presence of skins. Phalanges are often included within furs, despite their presence making it harder to skin the specimens (Kirkinen 2017). Skins tend not to have metapodials left in them, so it is possible that these were used for another purpose. However, given the rarity of bears in the early medieval period, it is most likely that all the specimens from anthropogenic sites represent skins that had been traded. Unlike several other wild animals such as wolf and beaver, there is a lack of convincing Anglo-Saxon bear place name evidence in England (Aybes & Yalden 1995), suggesting that they were very rare or extinct by this time. There also appears to be a lack of bears in early medieval iconography, with the exception of Viking Age hogbacks. Hogbacks are large carved stones, thought to have been grave

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

covers or markers, and mainly found in northern England and southern Scotland (Williams 2016). There are a great variety of types (Cramp 1991), some of which have animals at each end (termed 'end-beasts') apparently grasping the main body of the stone. Some of these end-beasts appear to be bears, such as the three from Brompton in Yorkshire (Williams 2016), but the carving of bears on such objects does not necessarily indicate that bears were present in the region, rather they are likely to have had some protective purpose for the dead. The most convincing depiction of a bear from this period is a Pictish carving from Old Scatness on Shetland (Bond & Bashford 2010). This carving shows a bear walking from left to right, with a clear hump between the shoulders. It really gives the impression that the artist had seen a bear, but bears were not present in Shetland and the similarities of style with other Pictish carvings suggests that the artist may have been from the Scottish mainland (Dockrill & Bond 2010).

In summary, the data for bears in early medieval Britain is very sparse, and the osteological remains are limited to foot bones (Fig. 6g) that are likely to be the remains of imported skins rather than bears themselves. The exception is the single radiocarbon dated specimen from Kinsey Cave, which suggests that live bears were present in the Yorkshire Dales into the earliest medieval period.

Medieval and post-medieval AD 1066 - Victorian

Twenty-one sites with bear remains are known from the medieval and post-medieval periods. Those from the medieval period (AD 1066-1485) are a mix of single phalanges (five sites) and other body parts (e.g. radius, calcaneum, maxilla) (five sites) (Fig. 6h). Sites with phalanges are likely to have had skins, those with dentition (Colchester, Carlisle) could be from trophies or live animals, while those with multiple body parts are likely to represent live bears (Barnard Castle; Plantation House, London; Gaol Street, Hereford). For the post-medieval period (AD 1485 onwards), five specimens were reported from a former anatomy school in Edinburgh (Henderson et al. 1996), with others from Vintner's Hall, a Victorian furrier's or taxidermist in London. All other post-medieval sites are in

London and associated with bear-baiting in Southwark. All body parts are represented here, and with the corroborating evidence from images and documentary archives it is clear that live bears were being imported for baiting (e.g. Ravelhofer 2002; Bowsher 2012; MacKinder et al. 2013). While baiting was performed all over the country, no bears from this period have been found outside London. This may indicate that the bears were rarely killed during baiting, or may be more indicative of the fact that the archaeology of the post-medieval has traditionally been less studied as it coincides with better historical records. A record of two bear skeletons from post-medieval Skinmarket Place mentioned in Bowsher & Miller (2009) appears to have been an identification error (LAARC 2017).

Bears were present in the Royal Menagerie at the Tower of London (Parnell 1999) and continued to be imported into Britain until well into the 20<sup>th</sup> century. Dancing bears were a common entertainment, with certain groups making their living from travelling with their bears in the summer (Serra 2013). Bears were also widely used for their body parts, with bear's grease, used for encouraging the growth of hair, still being sold in Britain in the early 20<sup>th</sup> century.

In summary, there is extensive evidence for the exploitation of bears in the medieval and postmedieval periods, but no evidence for wild bears in the countryside.

# Wanted alive or dead?

Analysis of the body part distribution of bears through time demonstrates that there are only two records of bears from Britain in the Iron Age, and these are both sites with cremated distal phalanges (Fig. 6e). A similar pattern is seen in the early medieval period (Fig. 6g) where almost all specimens are phalanges or metapodials from settlement sites, which are likely to have come from skins.

Examining the number of specimens per site shows that well over half the dated sites have a single bear specimen, and only five sites have ten or more bear bones (Fig. 7). Raven Scar cave in the early Mesolithic has evidence for multiple individuals (one adult and several cubs), while post-medieval Vintner's Hall has at least five individuals present (Hammon 2010). These low numbers contrast with those of the Lateglacial period, where Lord et al. (2007) estimated a minimum number of seven individuals for Victoria Cave and five individuals for Kinsey Cave. While it is possible that the cave entrances were blocked with scree during the Mesolithic period (Lord et al. 2007), perhaps reducing the chances of bears using these caves, there seems to be a real pattern of scarcity emerging for brown bears in Holocene Britain. This is in contrast to the situation in Ireland, where bears appear to have been exploited in the Lateglacial and Mesolithic (Dowd & Carden 2016), and are present in caves in the Neolithic and Bronze Age (Edwards 2014). There are very few bears present in Bronze Age and Iron Age Britain (Fig. 3), a pattern that is also seen in lynx (Crees et al. 2016). The lynx is always rare and Crees et al. (2016) suggest that its scarcity between the Neolithic and Roman periods is likely to be due to a lack of finds rather than extinction (it is only known from five Holocene sites in total) but, as noted above, the site with the latest lynx in Britain, Kinsey Cave (Hetherington et al. 2006) is also with one with the latest bear (Hammon 2010). Examination of the body parts present on individual sites (Fig. 6) provides a much more nuanced approach to understanding bear distributions than the collation of presence/absence data alone. For sites where multiple bear body parts, or parts of the long bones are present, it is likely that live bears were present. Here I argue that these are likely to have been imported for entertainment from the Romano-British period onwards, although Hammon (2010) suggests that those from the Roman period might still represent the indigenous population. The most straightforward explanation for those sites that have only foot bones present, is that the bones relate to skins or furs. However, while fur trading could be purely economic, there are also other, less tangible reasons for the collection or curation of bear remains. For example, Oehrl (2013) discusses the relationship between

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

methods of bear hunting and the courage of the people involved, where hunting the bear on foot or horseback, and attacking it from the front armed with a sword was regarded as the bravest act, while stalking from behind "should not be praised at all" (Oehrl 2013: 303, citing Hadamar von Laber; early 14<sup>th</sup> century). In this environment, the inclusion of bear remains in a grave may indicate something of the nature of the person who had been buried, rather than the presence of bears in the locality. Disentangling these different issues is well beyond the scope of this paper, but they are worth considering in a zoological context when assembling data on the presence of wild animals and using them to reconstruct past distributions.

## Extinction - theories, factors, timing.

When and why did the brown bear become extinct in Britain? At present this question is impossible to answer, as there is still much we do not know about its distribution. As well as the 57 sites that have well-constrained dates there are an additional 27 that are thought to be Holocene, but have no further information (Appendix S2). For radiocarbon-dated specimens, there is a gap of some 4,000 years from the early Mesolithic to the latest Bronze Age/earliest Iron Age (Fig. 2). Some of this gap is filled with specimens from archaeological sites, but further radiocarbon dating is needed to establish a clearer idea of bear distribution in the past. However, there are some factors that would undoubtedly have contributed to the bears decline, which include human-bear interaction/competition, changing habitats, and the size of the bear population.

Human-bear interaction can encompass many forms. These will include the direct dangers that bears pose for humans as much larger and carnivorous animals, as well as competition for resources, such as the predation of domestic animals, crops, and hives. Humans and bears may also have wanted to use the same locations, for example bears using caves for denning, which were also being used by humans for occupation or for burying their dead. Recent work by Albrecht et al. (2017) has identified a combination of climate change and landscape change through human activity as the main drivers

of bear extinction in Holocene Europe. Habitat fragmentation may have resulted in smaller populations that would have been more vulnerable to extinction. For example, in a nonanthropogenic context, Leonard et al. (2013) used a population viability analysis to determine that bears were very unlikely to have survived in a Last Glacial Maximum refugium in southern Ireland. In contrast, recent genetic work on the very isolated population from the Apennines in Italy, has shown that the bears probably became isolated in the Neolithic, and that certain genetic adaptations may have increased the likelihood of their survival (Benazzo et al. in press). There is very limited evidence for direct interaction between humans and bears, such as cutmarks or burning on the bones. This is particularly apparent in prehistory, where cutmarked bear bones from Ireland have been dated to the Lateglacial and early Mesolithic (Dowd & Carden 2016), but Lord et al. (2012) specifically comment on the lack of cutmarks on Lateglacial bear bones from Victoria Cave. For later periods, at least six sites have clear evidence of human-bear interaction. Two are Romano-British - the Westward House phalanx has cutmarks, the Fullerton specimens are slightly burnt, three are medieval - a proximal phalanx with cutmarks from Wigmore Castle, a butchered maxilla from Colchester and a butchered scapula from Seal House, London, and one is post-medieval - two tibiae with cutmarks from Southwark. The Colchester bear from Long Wyre Street also had an infected maxilla, which could have resulted from the accidental or deliberate breakage of the canine (Luff & Brothwell 1993). Both the Westward House and Wigmore Castle finds are consistent with skins (although modern furriers would remove the proximal phalanx; Kirkinen 2017). There is a distinct lack of bears in Wales and Scotland - in part because sediments are not good for preservation, caves (the source of many non-anthropogenic samples) are rarer, and also because, in the case of Wales, there has been less intensive study of the material. Almost all known bears from Scotland have been radiocarbon dated (Appendix S1), but there are very few overall. The specimens from Skye and Lismore are both foot bones, which may suggest they were imported as skins rather

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

than inhabiting these islands.

Woodman (2015: 26-28) points out that the carcasses of hunted animals may be butchered on the spot, meaning that their bones are likely to be scarce on sites. Therefore absence of evidence is not evidence of absence, and when dealing with an animal that has as many cultural connotations as the bear it is very hard to disentangle what might be true presence, from anthropogenic manipulation that could go back into the Upper Palaeolithic (Germonpré & Hämäläinen 2007). Whatever the situation, almost one third of the probable Holocene occurrences of brown bear in Britain are undated, so the picture outlined in this review may change in future.

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

### Conclusion

This study has demonstrated that examining the presence of wild species, particularly those that have great cultural value, can lead to misinterpretations of the presence or absence of that animal, unless considerable care is taken when collecting the data. The body part representation of the animal is critical to understanding whether it is likely to have been alive or dead at particular sites. Examination of the record of brown bear in Britain shows that it is only represented as skins in the Bronze Age, Iron Age and most of the early medieval periods, while live animals are likely to have been present in the Neolithic, Romano-British, and medieval and post-medieval periods. It appears bears were always rare in Holocene Britain, particularly after the Neolithic/early Bronze Age, when they might have been largely extinct, with the exception of northernmost Scotland and possibly the Yorkshire Dales. Therefore two scenarios for bear extinction suggest themselves based on the current data, and which may be tested in future: 1) the bear became extinct in the late Neolithic/early Bronze age and all other findings represent imported specimens (alive or dead) or 2) bears remained present, but in low, almost undetectable numbers until the early medieval period, before finally becoming extinct. However, more research, particularly on the many undated specimens from caves and fens will be required before a clearer pattern of brown bear distribution and extinction emerges.

503	
504	Acknowledgements
505	I would like to thank Derek Yalden for encouraging my interest in bears, Holly Miller for discussions
506	of scapulimancy, Matilda Holmes for sight of work that is currently in press, and MOLA, Philip
507	Armitage, Sheila Hamilton-Dyer, Richard Madgwick, Terry O'Connor, David Orton, Alan Pipe, Clare
508	Rainsford, Kevin Reilly and Silvia Warman for providing me with additional information.
509	
510	References
511	Albarella U, Pirnie T (2008) A Review of Animal Bone Evidence from Central England [data-set]. York
512	UK: Archaeology Data Service [distributor] <a href="https://doi.org/10.5284/1000317">https://doi.org/10.5284/1000317</a>
513	Albrecht J, Bartoń KA, Selva N, Sommer RS, Swenson JE, Bischof R (2017) Humans and climate
514	change drove the Holocene decline of the brown bear. Scientific Reports 7: 10399.
515	Allen M, Sykes N (2011) New animals, new landscapes and worldviews: the Iron Age to Roman
516	transition at Fishbourne. Sussex archaeological collections 149: 7-14.
517	Aybes C, Yalden DW (1995) Place-name evidence for the former distribution and status of wolves
518	and beavers in Britain. Mammal Review 25: 201-227.
519	Barnes I, Matheus P, Shapiro B, Jensen D, Cooper A (2002) Dynamics of Pleistocene Population
520	Extinctions in Beringian Brown Bears. <i>Science</i> 295: 2267-2270.
521	Bartosiewicz L (2012) Vertebrate remains. In: Saville A, Hardy K, Miket R, Ballin TB (eds) <i>An Corran,</i>
522	Staffin, Skye: A rockshelter with Mesolithic and later occupation, 47-61. Scottish Archaeological
523	Internet Report 51

Bateman N, Cowan C, Wroe-Brown R. (2008) London's Roman amphitheatre: Guildhall Yard, City of

London. MoLAS Monograph 35. Museum of London Archaeology, London, UK.

524

526 Benazzo A, Trucchi E, Cahill J, Delser PM, Mona S, Fumagalli M et al. (in press) Survival and 527 divergence in a small group: the extraordinary genomic history of the endangered Apennine 528 brownbear stragglers. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 529 Bendrey R (2010) The Horse. In: O'Connor T, Sykes N (eds) Extinctions and invasions: a social history 530 of British Fauna, 10-16. Windgather Press, Oxford, UK. 531 Bomgardner DL (1992) The trade in wild beasts for Roman spectacles: a green perspective. Anthropozoologica 16: 161-166. 532 533 Bond JM (1996) Burnt offerings: Animal bone in Anglo-Saxon cremations. World Archaeology 28: 76-534 88. 535 Bond JM, Bashford DJ (2010) Carved and incised stone. In: Dockrill SJ, Bond JM, Turner VE, Brown LD, 536 Bashford DJ, Cussans JE, Nicholson RA (eds) Excavations at Old Scatness, Shetland Volume 1: The 537 Pictish village and Viking settlement, 304-315. Shetland Heritage Publications, Lerwick, UK. 538 Bowsher J (2012) Shakespeare's London Theatreland: Archaeology, history and drama. Museum of 539 London Archaeology, London, UK. 540 Bowsher J, Miller P (2009) The Rose and the Globe - playhouses of Shakespeare's Bankside, 541 Southwark. Excavations 1988-90. Museum of London Archaeology, London, UK. 542 Boyd Dawkins W (1865) On the mammalian remains found by E. Wood, Esq., near Richmond, 543 Yorkshire. *Proceedings of the Geological Society* 21: 493-495. 544 Bramwell D (1971) Excavations at Fox Hole Cave, High Wheeldon, 1961-1970. Derbyshire Archaeological Journal 91: 1-19. 545 546 Branigan K, Dearne MJ (1992) Romano-British Cavemen: Cave use in Roman Britain. Oxbow 547 Monograph 19. Oxbow Books, Oxford, UK.

Bronk Ramsey C (2009). Bayesian analysis of radiocarbon dates. Radiocarbon 51: 337-360.

- Burleigh R, Hewson A, Meeks N (1976) British Museum natural radiocarbon measurements VIII.
- 550 *Radiocarbon* 18: 16-42.
- 551 Campbell JB (1977) The Upper Palaeolithic of Britain: A study of man and nature in the Late Ice Age,
- 552 *Volume I and II*. Clarendon Press, Oxford, UK.
- 553 Clark JGD (1971) Excavations at Star Carr: an early Mesolithic site at Seamer near Scarborough,
- 554 Yorkshire. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- 555 Coleman KM (2006) M. Valerii Martialis liber spectaculorum. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.
- 556 Conneller C, Milner N, Taylor B, Taylor M (2012) Substantial settlement in the European Early
- 557 Mesolithic: new research at Star Carr. *Antiquity* 86: 1004-1020.
- 558 Cottam E, de Jersey P, Rudd C, Sills J (2010) Ancient British Coins. Chris Rudd, Aylsham, UK.
- 559 Crabtree P (1989) West Stow: Early Anglo-Saxon animal husbandry. East Anglian Archaeology report
- 560 47. Suffolk County Planning Department, Ipswich, UK.
- 561 Cramp R (1991) Grammar of Anglo-Saxon ornament: a general introduction to the corpus of Anglo-
- 562 Saxon stone sculpture. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.
- 563 Crees JJ, Carbone C, Sommer RS, Benecke N, Turvey ST (2016) Millennial-scale faunal record reveals
- differential resilience of European large mammals to human impacts across the Holocene.
- 565 Proceedings of the Royal Society: Series B 283: 20152152. DOI: 10.1098/rspb.2015.2152
- 566 Crummy N (2010) Bears and coins: the iconography of protection in late Roman infant burials.
- 567 *Britannia* 41: 37-93.
- Davies JA (2011) Boars, bulls and Norfolk's Celtic menagerie. In: Davies JA (ed) The Iron Age in
- 569 northern East Anglia: new work in the land of the Iceni, 59-68. British Archaeological Reports, British
- 570 Series 549. Archaeopress, Oxford, UK.

571 Dockrill SJ, Bond JM (2010) Old Scatness: a discussion of the Pictish, Viking and Norse evidence. In: 572 Dockrill SJ, Bond JM, Turner VE, Brown LD, Bashford DJ, Cussans JE, Nicholson RA (eds) Excavations 573 at Old Scatness, Shetland Volume 1: The Pictish village and Viking settlement, 353-369. Shetland 574 Heritage Publications, Lerwick, UK. 575 Dowd M (2015) The archaeology of caves in Ireland. Oxbow Books, Oxford, UK. 576 Dowd M, Carden RF (2016) First evidence of a Late Upper Palaeolithic human presence in Ireland. 577 Quaternary Science Reviews 139: 158-163. 578 Edwards CJ, Ho SYW, Barnett R, Coxon P, Bradley DG, Lord TC, O'Connor TP (2014) Continuity of 579 brown bear maternal lineages in northern England through the last glacial period. Quaternary 580 Science Reviews 96:131-139. 581 Edwards CJ (2014) Comment on 'Origin of British and Irish mammals: disparate post-glacial 582 colonisation and species introductions' by W.I. Montgomery, J. Provan, A.M. McCabe and D.W. 583 Yalden. Quaternary Science Reviews 105: 244-247. 584 Evans C, Mackay D (2004) Longstanton, Cambridgeshire - A Village Hinterland. Cambridge 585 Archaeological Unit Report 696. Cambridge, UK. 586 Garshelis DL (2009) Family Ursidae (bears). In Wilson DE, Mittermeier RA (eds) Handbook of 587 mammals of the world 1, carnivores, 448-497. Lynx Edicions, Barcelona, Spain. 588 Germonpré M, Hämäläinen R (2007) Fossil bear bones in the Belgian Upper Palaeolithic: the 589 possibility of a proto bear-ceremonialism. Arctic Anthropology 44: 1-30. 590 Hallowell AI (1926) Bear ceremonialism in the northern hemisphere. American Anthropologist 28: 1-175. 591 592 Hambleton E (2008) Review of middle Bronze Age - late Iron Age faunal assemblages from Southern 593 Britain. Research Department Report Series number 71-2008. English Heritage, UK.

594 Hammon A (2010) The Brown Bear. In: O'Connor T, Sykes N (eds) Extinctions and invasions: a social 595 history of British Fauna, 95-103. Windgather Press, Oxford, UK. 596 Harman M (1993) The mammalian bones. In: Simpson WG, Gurney DA, Neve J, Pryor FMM (eds) The 597 Fenland Project, Number 7. Excavation in Peterborough and the lower Welland valley 1960-69, 24-598 25. East Anglian Archaeology Report 61. Fenland Archaeological Trust, Peterborough, UK. 599 Hardy A, Dodd A, Keevill GD (2003) Aelfric's Abbey: Excavations at Eynsham Abbey, Oxfordshire, 600 1989-1992. Thames Valley Landscapes monograph 16. Lancaster University Press, Lancaster, UK. 601 Hedges REM, Housley RA, Bronk Ramsey C, van Klinken GJ (1994) Radiocarbon dates from the Oxford 602 AMS system: Archaeometry datelist 18. Archaeometry 36: 337-374. 603 Henderson D, Collard M, Johnston DA (1996) Archaeological evidence for 18<sup>th</sup>-century medical 604 practice in the Old Town of Edinburgh: excavations at 13 Infirmary Street and Surgeons' Square. 605 Proceedings of the Society of Antiquaries of Scotland 126: 929-941. Hetherington DA, Lord TC, Jacobi JM (2006) New evidence for the occurrence of Eurasian lynx (Lynx 606 607 lynx) in medieval Britain. Journal of Quaternary Science 21: 3-8. 608 Holmes M (in press) Southern England: A Review of Animal Remains from Saxon, Medieval and Post 609 Medieval Archaeological Sites. English Heritage Research Report, London, UK. 610 Hunter J, Ralston I (2009) The archaeology of Britain: An introduction from earliest times to the 611 Twenty-First century. Routledge, London, UK. 612 Jackson JW (1935) Report on the animal remains from Pit 5. In: Stone JFS (ed) Some discoveries at 613 Ratfyn, Amesbury and their bearing on the date of Woodhenge, 66-67. Wiltshire archaeological and 614 natural history magazine 47: 55-67. 615 Jackson JW (1962) Archaeology and Palaeontology. In: Cullingford CHD (ed) British Caving: an introduction to speleology, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, 252-346. Routledge and Kegan Paul Limited, London, UK. 616

617 Jessop L (2012) Extinct mammals from the Holocene. Mammals of the North East website. Natural 618 History society of Northumbria. http://www.nhsn.ncl.ac.uk/interests/mammals/mammals-north-619 east/9213-2/ 620 Jones AM (2016) Preserved in the Peat: An extraordinary Bronze Age burial on Whitehorse Hill, 621 Dartmoor, and its wider context. Oxbow Books, Oxford, UK. 622 Kirkinen T (2017) "Burning pelts" - brown bear skins in the Iron Age and early Medieval (1-1300 AD) 623 burials in South-eastern Fennoscandia. Estonian Journal of Archaeology 21: 3-29. 624 Kitchener AC, Bonsall C (1997) AMS radiocarbon dates for some extinct Scottish mammals. 625 Quaternary Newsletter 83: 1-11. 626 Kitchener AC, Bonsall C, Bartosiewicz L. (2004) Missing mammals from the Mesolithic middens: a 627 comparison of the fossil and archaeological records from Scotland. In: Saville A (ed) Mesolithic 628 Scotland and its neighbours, 73-82. Society of Antiquaries of Scotland, Edinburgh, UK. 629 Knapton S (2017) Wolves and brown bears could return to British countryside to 'naturally cut deer 630 population'. The Telegraph, 30 June 2017. http://www.telegraph.co.uk/science/2017/06/30/wolves-631 brown-bears-could-return-british-countryside-naturally/ 632 LAARC (2017) Site Record SIP88: Skinmarket Place. 633 http://archive.museumoflondon.org.uk/laarc/catalogue/siteinfo.asp?id=829&code=SIP88&terms=sk 634 inmarket&search=simple&go=Go 635 Legge AJ (1991) The animal remains from six sites at Down Farm, Woodcutts. In: Barrett J, Bradley R, 636 Hall M (eds) Papers on the prehistoric archaeology of Cranborne Chase, pp. 54-100.. Oxbow 637 Monograph 11. Oxbow books, Oxford, UK. 638 Legge AJ, Rowley-Conwy PA (1988) Star Carr revisited. Centre for Extra-Mural Studies, Birkbeck, 639 London, UK.

640 Legge AJ, Williams J, Williams P (1988) Animal remains, In: Moss-Eccardt J (ed) Archaeological 641 investigations in the Letchworth area, 1958-1974. Blackhorse Road, Letchworth; Norton Road, 642 Baldock; Wilbury Hill, Letchworth, 90-95. Proceedings of the Cambridge Antiquarian Society 77: 35-643 104. 644 Leonard SA, Risley CL, Turvey ST (2013) Could brown bears (Ursus arctos) have survived in Ireland 645 during the Last Glacial Maximum? Biology Letters 9: 20130281 646 Lord TC, O'Connor TP, Siebradt DC, Jacobi RM (2007) People and large carnivores as biostratinomic 647 agents in Lateglacial cave assemblages. Journal of Quaternary Science 22: 681-694. Lord T, Lundberg J, Murphy P (2012) A guide to work at Victoria Cave - from the 19th to 21st 648 649 centuries,. In: O'Regan HJ, Faulkner T, Smith IR (eds) Cave archaeology and karst geomorphology of 650 north west England: field guide, 84-97. Quaternary Research Association, London, UK. 651 Luff R (1985) The fauna. In: Niblett R (ed) Sheepen: an early Roman industrial site at Camulodunum, 652 143-149. CBA Research Report 57, London, UK. 653 Luff R, Brothwell D (1993) Health and welfare. In: Luff R (ed) Animal bones from excavations in 654 Colchester 1971-1985, 101-121. Colchester Archaeological Report 12, Colchester, UK. 655 Mackinder A, Blackmore L, Bowsher J, Phillpotts C (2013) The Hope playhouse, animal baiting and 656 later industrial activity at Bear Gardens on Bankside. Archaeology Studies Series 25. Museum of 657 London Archaeology, London, UK. 658 McVeigh T (2015) Make way for the lynx and the bear as 'rewilding' projects gather pace across 659 Britain. The Observer, 3 May 2015. https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2015/may/03/lynxrewilding-britain-wildlife-countryside 660 661 Moore OK (1957) Divination – a new perspective. American Anthropologist 59: 69-74.

662 Morris C (2017) Looking at, and for, inscribed stones: a note from the Brough of Birsay, Orkney. In: 663 Cambridge, E., Hawkes, J. (eds) Crossing boundaries: interdisciplinary approaches to the art, material 664 culture, language and literature of the early medieval world: essays presented to Professor Emeritus 665 Richard N. Bailey, OBE, in honour of his eightieth birthday. Oxbow books, Oxford, UK. 666 Noe-Nygaard N (1983) A new find of brown bear (Ursus arctos) from Star Carr and other finds in the 667 Late Glacial and post Glacial of Britain and Denmark. Journal of Archaeological Science 10: 317-325. 668 O'Connor TP (1989) Bones from Anglo-Scandinavian levels at 16-22 Coppergate. Archaeology of York 669 The Animal Bones 15/3. York Archaeological Trust and Council for British Archaeology, York, UK. 670 Oehrl S (2013) Bear hunting and its ideological context (as a background for the interpretation of bear claws and other remains of bears in Germanic graves of the 1st millennium AD). In: Grimm O & 671 672 Schmölke U (eds) Hunting in northern Europe until 1500 AD, 297-332. Neumünster, Wachholtz, 673 Germany. 674 Owen R (1846) A history of British fossil mammals, and birds. John van Voorst, London, UK. 675 Parker Pearson M, Chamberlain A, Jay M, Richards M, Sheridan A, Curtis N et al. (2016) Beaker 676 people in Britain: migration, mobility and diet. Antiquity 90: 620-637. 677 Parnell G (1999) The Royal Menagerie at the Tower of London. Royal Armouries, Tower of London, 678 UK. 679 Patten R, Evans C (2005) Excavations at Striplands Farm, West Longstanton, Cambridgeshire. 680 Cambridge Archaeological Unit, UK. 681 Pearson GW (1979) Belfast radiocarbon dates IX. Radiocarbon 21: 274-290. 682 Ravelhofer B (2002) "Beasts of Recreacion": Henslowe's White Bears. English literary renaissance 32:

287-323.

684 Reynolds SH (1906) A Monograph on the British Mammalia. II. British Pleistocene Hyaenidae, 685 Ursidae, Canidae, and Mustelidae. Palaeontographical Society, London, UK. 686 Serjeantson D (2011) A Review of Animal Remains from the Neolithic and Early Bronze Age of 687 Southern Britain [data-set]. York: Archaeology Data Service [distributor] 688 https://doi.org/10.5284/1000396 689 Schönfelder M (1994) Bear-claws in Germanic graves. Oxford Journal of Archaeology 13: 217-227. 690 Serra I (2013) On men and bears: a forgotten migration in Nineteenth-Century Italy. History 691 Workshop Journal 76: 57-84. 692 Simpson WG (1993) The excavation of a Late Neolithic settlement at Barholm, Lincolnshire. In: 693 Simpson WG, Gurney DA, Neve J, Pryor FMM (eds) The Fenland Project, Number 7. Excavation in 694 Peterborough and the lower Welland valley 1960-69, 7-28. East Anglian Archaeology Report 61. 695 Fenland Archaeologcal Trust, Peterborough, UK. 696 Smith JA (1879) Notice of the skull of a large bear (Ursus arctos, Linn.) found in a moss in 697 Dumfriesshire. With remarks on recorded references to the presence of the bear in former times in 698 Scotland. *Proceedings of the Society of Antiquaries of Scotland* 13: 360-376. 699 Sommer RS, Benecke N (2005) The recolonization of Europe by brown bears Ursus arctos Linnaeus, 700 1758 after the last glacial maximum. Mammal Review 35: 156-164. 701 Squires KE (2011) An osteological analysis and social investigation of the cremation rite at the 702 cemeteries of Elsham and Cleatham, North Lincolnshire. PhD thesis, University of Sheffield, UK. 703 Stallibrass S (2002) An overview of the animal bones: what would we like to know, what do we know 704 so far, and where do we go from here? In: Wilson PR (ed) Cataractonium: Roman Catterick and its 705 hinterland. Excavations and research, 1958-1997. Part II, 392-415. CBA Research Report 129. Council 706 for British Archaeology, York, UK.

- 707 Stead IM (1966). A La Tène III burial at Welwyn Garden City. *Archaeologia* 101: 1-62.
- 708 Stead IM, Rigby V (1986) Baldock: the excavation of a Roman and pre-Roman settlement, 1968-72.
- 709 Britannia Monograph Series No. 7. The Society of Roman Studies, London, UK.
- 710 Sykes NJ (2004) Neolithic and Saxon animal bone. In: Ellis, CJ (ed) A prehistoric ritual complex at
- 711 Eynesbury, Cambridgeshire: excavation of a multi-period site in the Great Ouse valley, 2000-2001,
- 712 87-91. East Anglian Archaeology Report No. 17. Wessex Archaeology, UK.
- 713 Taylor B (2011) Early Mesolithic activities in the wetlands of the Lake Flixton basin. *Journal of*
- 714 Wetland Archaeology 11: 63-84.
- 715 Taylor T, Lord T, O'Connor T (2007) Revised report on English Heritage (HEEP) funded threat-led
- fieldwork at Kinsey Cave, High Scar, above Giggleswick Scar, Giggleswick, North Yorkshire (SD 80408
- 717 *65709), SAM No.13248*. Archive Report to English Heritage, UK.
- 718 Toynbee JMC (1964) Art in Britain under the Romans. Clarendon Press, Oxford, UK.
- 719 Wallace G (2005) The faunal assemblage from the Grooved Ware pit F538. In: French C, Pryor F (eds)
- 720 Archaeology and environment of the Etton Landscape, 147-151. East Anglian Archaeology Report
- 721 109, Fenland Archaeological Trust, Peterborough, UK.
- 722 Whittle A (2009) The Neolithic period, c. 4000-2000 cal BC: a changing world. In: Hunter J, Ralston I
- 723 (eds) The archaeology of Britain: an introduction from earliest times to the Twenty-first century, 78-
- 724 102. Routledge, Oxford, UK.
- 725 Williams H (2016) Citations in stone: the material world of hogbacks. European Journal of
- 726 *Archaeology* 19: 497-518.
- 727 Witt P (2016) A mosaic menagerie: Creatures of land, sea and sky in Romano-British Mosaics. BAR
- 728 Series 625. British Archaeological Reports, Oxford, UK.
- 729 Woodman P (2015) Ireland's first settlers: time and the Mesolithic. Oxbow books, Oxford, UK.

730 Yalden D (1999) A history of British mammals. T & AD Poyser Natural History, London, UK.

Period	No of sites	No of sites with bear	Percentage with bear
Mesolithic	4	0	0
Neolithic	162	4	2.5
Neolithic/Bronze Age	32	4	11.8
Bronze Age	73	1	1.4
Iron Age	160	2	1.3
Romano-British	176	9	5.1
Early medieval (Saxon)	231	3	1.3
Medieval	537	5	0.9
Post-medieval	214	10	4.7

Table 1. Sites with bear as a proportion of the total number of sites for each period in the Midlands, East, South, and South West of England. Site data taken from Historic England geographic reviews: Midlands and East Anglia (Mesolithic to post-medieval; Albarella and Pirnie 2008); South and Southwest (Neolithic to Iron Age; Hambleton 2008; Serjeantson 2011; Saxon, medieval and post-medieval; Holmes in press). Where reviews overlap I have attempted to remove one of the datasets so that sites are not counted twice. The sample of Saxon/Norman sites in Holmes (in press) was divided and half added to the Saxon count and half to the medieval count. The presence of bear has been limited to those regions included in the reviews to make the data directly comparable.